

## **2013 DRAFTING REQUEST**

### **Assembly Amendment (AA-AB482)**

Received: **1/23/2014** Received By: **phurley**  
Wanted: **As time permits** Same as LRB:  
For: **Garey Bies (608) 266-5350** By/Representing:  
May Contact: Drafter: **phurley**  
Subject: **Justice - criminal** Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**  
Requester's email: **Rep.Bies@legis.wisconsin.gov**  
Carbon copy (CC) to:

---

#### **Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

#### **Topic:**

Law enforcement standards

---

#### **Instructions:**

See attached

---

#### **Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	phurley 1/30/2014	kfollett 1/29/2014	jmurphy 1/29/2014	_____	sbasford 1/29/2014	sbasford 1/29/2014	
/2		kfollett 1/30/2014	jfrantze 1/30/2014	_____	mbarman 1/30/2014	mbarman 1/30/2014	

FE Sent For:

**<END>**

# **2013 DRAFTING REQUEST**

## **Assembly Amendment (AA-AB482)**

Received:	<b>1/23/2014</b>	Received By:	<b>phurley</b>
Wanted:	<b>As time permits</b>	Same as LRB:	
For:	<b>Garey Bies (608) 266-5350</b>	By/Representing:	
May Contact:		Drafter:	<b>phurley</b>
Subject:	<b>Justice - criminal</b>	Addl. Drafters:	
		Extra Copies:	

Submit via email: **YES**  
 Requester's email: **Rep.Bies@legis.wisconsin.gov**  
 Carbon copy (CC) to:

### **Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

### **Topic:**

Law enforcement standards ✓

### **Instructions:**

See attached

### **Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	phurley 1/29/2014	kfollett 1/29/2014	jmurphy 1/29/2014	Km 1/ J 2/30	sbasford 1/29/2014	sbasford 1/29/2014	

FE Sent For:

1/25F  
1/30  
1/25F  
1/30  
<END>

**2013 DRAFTING REQUEST****Assembly Amendment (AA-AB482)**

Received: 1/23/2014

Received By: phurley

Wanted: As time permits

Same as LRB:

For: Garey Bies (608) 266-5350

By/Representing:

May Contact:

Drafter: phurley

Subject: Justice - criminal

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Rep.Bies@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC) to:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Law enforcement standards ✓

**Instructions:**

See attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

/1	phurley	1/15f	1/15f	_____			
		1/29	1/29	_____			

FE Sent For:

&lt;END&gt;

**Hurley, Peggy**

---

**From:** Bruce, Cory  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:08 PM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** AB 482 - Request for Amendment

Peggy,

Could you please draft an amendment for us that does what DOJ has submitted below? We're hoping we can exec it next week Thursday, so we're in a little bit of a time crunch.

Thanks,  
Cory

---

**From:** Rinehart, Mark W. [<mailto:RinehartMW@DOJ.STATE.WI.US>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2014 3:30 PM  
**To:** Bruce, Cory  
**Cc:** Stensberg, Dean F - DOJ  
**Subject:** RE: AB 482 Letter from Platteville Chief of Police

Hi Cory,

On the DV language - On Page 8, line 7, insert "Domestic Violence Investigations" after "recording custodial interrogations." This will cover the LESB responsibility to have training recommendations for both the preparatory school and in-service.

On the Curriculum Advisory Committee – one spot for 2-year colleges and one spot for 4-year colleges, both non-voting.

It appears Kleefisch will hold an exec 1/30. It would be nice to get the bill on the agenda for that exec.

**Hurley, Peggy**

---

**From:** Ruhland, Lane  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:28 PM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Cc:** Bruce, Cory  
**Subject:** RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

**Peggy,**

Could you please draft a senate version of this amendment as well?

Thank you,

Lane

---

**From:** Bruce, Cory  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:08 PM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** AB 482 - Request for Amendment

Peggy,

Could you please draft an amendment for us that does what DOJ has submitted below? We're hoping we can exec it next week Thursday, so we're in a little bit of a time crunch.

Thanks,  
Cory

---

**From:** Rinehart, Mark W. [<mailto:RinehartMW@DOJ.STATE.WI.US>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2014 3:30 PM  
**To:** Bruce, Cory  
**Cc:** Stensberg, Dean F - DOJ  
**Subject:** RE: AB 482 Letter from Platteville Chief of Police

Hi Cory,

On the DV language - On Page 8, line 7, insert "Domestic Violence Investigations" after "recording custodial interrogations." This will cover the LESB responsibility to have training recommendations for both the preparatory school and in-service.

On the Curriculum Advisory Committee – one spot for 2-year colleges and one spot for 4-year colleges, both non-voting.

It appears Kleefisch will hold an exec 1/30. It would be nice to get the bill on the agenda for that exec.

## Hurley, Peggy

---

**From:** Bruce, Cory  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 28, 2014 6:37 PM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Cc:** Ruhland, Lane  
**Subject:** RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

Hi Peggy,  
yes - this is a go. Thank you.

Cory

---

**From:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 28, 2014 2:23 PM  
**To:** Bruce, Cory  
**Cc:** Ruhland, Lane  
**Subject:** RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

So do we consider this a "go" or should I wait to hear from Mark?

Peggy

-----Original Message-----

**From:** O'Keefe, Brian R. [mailto:okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 28, 2014 1:35 PM  
**To:** Bruce, Cory  
**Cc:** Hurley, Peggy; Ruhland, Lane; Rinehart, Mark W - DOJ  
**Subject:** Re: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

Cory

I was OK with the DV language. I wasn't concerned about it being confused with recording those investigations, but understand and think every professional law enforcement officer would expect we keep working on providing DV training.

As to the curriculum advisory seat, I'm OK with the language you suggest. I think making it clear that a rep from that education category only participates if a tech or 4-yr participate. In other words, if no 4-yr institutions participate in academy training, they lose the seat. Same for tech colleges.

Thanks for your help,

Brian

Sent from my iPad

> On Jan 28, 2014, at 12:11 PM, "Bruce, Cory" <Cory.Bruce@legis.wisconsin.gov> wrote:  
>  
> HI all,

> Have you had a chance to review Peggy's email from Friday (attached below) about how you'd like to proceed on the 2/4 year question. She sent a follow up today (also below). I haven't heard back from Ashlee about adding it to the exec. for Thursday, but it probably can't happen for sure if we don't have the amendment ready.

>

> Let me know your thoughts,

> Cory

>

---

> From: Hurley, Peggy

> Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2014 12:04 PM

> To: Bruce, Cory; Ruhland, Lane

> Subject: RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

>

> Hi Cory and Lane,

>

> Have you had any feedback on this? If I can get the language nailed down before the end of the day tomorrow, I will be able to get the amendments out by 10 am Thursday morning.

>

> I talked with the education drafter, and apparently there are 2-year college programs that are not technical colleges, but he was unsure as to whether the law enforcement training addressed in this section is provided by tech colleges, 2-year colleges, or both. So maybe the phrase "a representative of technical or (and of?) 2-year colleges and, if applicable, of 4-year colleges or universities, that provide a course or program described in this paragraph . . ." would work. I like this phrasing better than what I proposed earlier, because the earlier language could be interpreted to mean that every school that offers a program needs a rep on the advisory committee. This language is clearer, I think, that each type or category of school has a representative, not each school.

>

> I still don't know the question of whether we need to specify that these folks are "non-voting," for the reasons I mentioned earlier. Any guidance you can provide is helpful; thanks, as always.

>

> Peggy

>

> From: Hurley, Peggy

> Sent: Friday, January 24, 2014 9:49 AM

> To: Bruce, Cory; Ruhland, Lane

> Subject: RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

>

> Thanks again.

>

> As drafted now, the bill reads, in pertinent part (page 8, lines 10-15):

>

> "The board shall appoint a 13-member advisory curriculum advisory committee consisting of 6 chiefs of police and 6 sheriffs to be appointed on a geographic basis of not more than one chief of police and one sheriff from any of the 8 state administrative districts together with the director of training of the Wisconsin state patrol. This committee shall advise the board in the establishment of the curriculum requirements. "

>

> I am still confused by the "non-voting member in an advisory capacity" language, because it appears that all 13 of the current members are already "advisory." Further, I don't know what you mean by a "2-year college." Do you mean a technical college, or something else?

>

> I propose this change, but I am not sure it reflects your intent:

>

> "The board shall appoint a 13-member advisory curriculum advisory committee consisting of 6 chiefs of police and 6 sheriffs to be appointed on a geographic basis of not more than one chief of police and one sheriff from any of the 8

state administrative districts together with , a representative of a technical college and, if applicable, of a 4-year college or university, that provides a course or program described in this paragraph, and the director of training of the Wisconsin state patrol. This committee shall advise the board in the establishment of the curriculum requirements. "

> If "non-voting" should mean something other than advisory, I could add, after "requirements." Something like "The representative of a technical college and, if applicable, of a 4-year college or university shall serve on the committee in a non-voting capacity."

> Your thoughts?

> Peggy

> From: Bruce, Cory

> Sent: Friday, January 24, 2014 9:25 AM

> To: Hurley, Peggy; Ruhland, Lane

> Subject: FW: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

> Hi,

> Below is some further clarification from DOJ on adding members to the advisory committee:

> The LESB board appoints the members of the curriculum advisory committee. I would like the language to maintain this. I think it should read that the board appoints a non-voting member in an advisory capacity from a 2-year college and if 4-year colleges participate in recruit or in-service training, the board may also appoint a rep from a four-year college in an advisory capacity. If no four year colleges participate, they should not have a spot.

> Cory

> From: Rinehart, Mark W. [mailto:RinehartMW@DOJ.STATE.WI.US]

> Sent: Friday, January 24, 2014 9:05 AM

> To: Bruce, Cory

> Subject: FW: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

> fyi

> From: O'Keefe, Brian R.

> Sent: Friday, January 24, 2014 9:04 AM

> To: Rinehart, Mark W.; Stensberg, Dean F.

> Subject: RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

> Mark

> I believe they over thought the DV issue. I assumed they would put a comma after recordings as the whole line is in a serial, but I'm good with inserting before if it makes them happy.

> The LESB board appoints the members of the curriculum advisory committee. I would like the language to maintain this. I think it should read that the board appoints a non-voting member in an advisory capacity from a 2-year college and if 4-year colleges participate in recruit or in-service training, the board may also appoint a rep from a four-year college in an advisory capacity. If no four year colleges participate, they should not have a spot.

> Brian



>

> Brian R. O'Keefe

> Administrator

> Wisconsin Department of Justice

> Division of Law Enforcement Services

>

> Office 608-266-7052

> Cell 608-345-0913

> E-mail: okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us<mailto:okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us>

>

> 17 W. Main Street 8th Floor

> Madison, WI 53707

>

> From: Rinehart, Mark W.

> Sent: Friday, January 24, 2014 8:47 AM

> To: Stensberg, Dean F.; O'Keefe, Brian R.

> Subject: FW: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

>

> fyi

>

> From: Rinehart, Mark W.

> Sent: Friday, January 24, 2014 8:45 AM

> To: Bruce, Cory

> Subject: RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

>

> I like Lane's response to both issues. Thank you.

>

> From: Bruce, Cory [mailto:Cory.Bruce@legis.wisconsin.gov]

> Sent: Friday, January 24, 2014 8:38 AM

> To: Rinehart, Mark W.

> Subject: FW: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

>

> Mark,

> Please see Peggy's question and Lane (petrowski's staff) response. I think Lane answered the domestic violence question correctly, but are you okay with what she recommended for the spot on the curriculum committee?

>

> Thanks,

> Cory

>

> From: Ruhland, Lane

> Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 5:09 PM

> To: Hurley, Peggy

> Cc: Bruce, Cory

> Subject: RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

>

> Peggy,

>

> My understanding, and Cory can correct me if I am misguided, was that we want to make sure training on domestic violence investigations is one of the subjects covered. I think putting it before "recording custodial interrogations" makes it clear that we are not talking about "recording" these investigations, rather that it is a separate subject area for which the board should establish standards. I think suggesting it go after this phrase was just a quick way to get it in

there and maybe DOJ didn't think of the implication of the word "recording" attaching "domestic violence investigations."

>

> So yes, I think placing it before accomplishes what we want and isn't contrary to DOJ's intent.

>

> On the second issue...

>

> I will have to look into voting, non-voting, but the board is established under 15.255 and it doesn't refer to whether or not they are voting members. I think they are all voting members, so we would be creating a new type of member that doesn't vote. Also, I think it is sufficient to just plainly say "a representative" I don't think we had a specific person in mind and these institutions are likely the best at choosing this representative. If that becomes an issue we can just do a simple amendment specifying who that may be.

>

>

> Thank you Peggy,

>

> Lane

> From: Hurley, Peggy

> Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:52 PM

> To: Ruhland, Lane

> Cc: Bruce, Cory

> Subject: RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

>

> Hi all,

>

> I am not sure how to interpret the first recommendation. Do you mean to include "domestic violence investigations" as a subject for the LESB should have training recommendations, or do you want to include "domestic violence investigations" as something that should be recorded, like "custodial interrogations"? Inserting "domestic violence investigations" before the phrase "custodial interrogations" accomplishes the first objective. Inserting it after the phrase "custodial interrogations" accomplishes the second.

>

> Regarding the second recommendation, are all 13 current members of the curriculum advisory committee voting members? And will it suffice to say simply "a representative of a technical college (I think that is what you mean by a 2-year college) and a representative of a 4-year college or university" or do you want a dean or administrator or other specific faculty member?

>

> Your thoughts?

>

> Peggy

>

> From: Ruhland, Lane

> Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:28 PM

> To: Hurley, Peggy

> Cc: Bruce, Cory

> Subject: RE: AB 482 - Request for Amendment

>

> Peggy,

>

> Could you please draft a senate version of this amendment as well?

>

> Thank you,

>

> Lane .  
> From: Bruce, Cory  
> Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:08 PM  
> To: Hurley, Peggy  
> Subject: AB 482 - Request for Amendment  
>  
> Peggy,  
> Could you please draft an amendment for us that does what DOJ has submitted below? We're hoping we can exec it next week Thursday, so we're in a little bit of a time crunch.  
>  
> Thanks,  
> Cory  
>  
> From: Rinehart, Mark W. [mailto:RinehartMW@DOJ.STATE.WI.US]  
> Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 3:30 PM  
> To: Bruce, Cory  
> Cc: Stensberg, Dean F - DOJ  
> Subject: RE: AB 482 Letter from Platteville Chief of Police  
>  
> Hi Cory,  
>  
> On the DV language - On Page 8, line 7, insert "Domestic Violence Investigations" after "recording custodial interrogations." This will cover the LESB responsibility to have training recommendations for both the preparatory school and in-service.  
>  
> On the Curriculum Advisory Committee – one spot for 2-year colleges and one spot for 4-year colleges, both non-voting.  
>  
> It appears Kleefisch will hold an exec 1/30. It would be nice to get the bill on the agenda for that exec.  
>



State of Wisconsin  
2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



LRBa1514/1

PJH...

bf

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT,  
TO ASSEMBLY BILL 482

today

the material beginning with

and ending with  
"with" on line  
14

1

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2

1. Page 8, line 6: after "firearms," insert "domestic violence investigations,".

3

2. Page 8, line 13: delete "together" and substitute "a representative of

4

technical colleges, of 2-year colleges, and of 4-year colleges or universities, if the

5

colleges or universities provide a course or program described in this paragraph,

6

and".

7

3. Page 8, line 14: delete "with".

8

(END)

Change  
Component

## Hurley, Peggy

---

**From:** Rep.Bies  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 30, 2014 10:22 AM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards

Sending them over now!

---

**From:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 3:58 PM  
**To:** O'Keefe, Brian R - DOJ  
**Cc:** Rep.Bies  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards

I see; thank you for the clarification. I will redraft the amendment as written here; I really appreciate your help.

Cory, please send back the stripes to 13a1514 so I can redraft.

Thank you!  
Peggy

---

**From:** O'Keefe, Brian R. [<mailto:okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 3:51 PM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Cc:** Rep.Bies  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards

Peggy

I'm OK with this language. It doesn't matter with the way you have it worded, but the Tech colleges are running the academies. The 2-yr and 4-yr schools are the UW schools or 4-yr private universities, so the Tech colleges would be the 14<sup>th</sup> seat. Just an FYI.

Thanks again for all your help,

Brian

Brian R. O'Keefe  
Administrator  
Wisconsin Department of Justice  
Division of Law Enforcement Services

Office 608-266-7052  
Cell 608-345-0913  
E-mail: [okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us](mailto:okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us)

17 W. Main Street 8th Floor  
Madison, WI 53707

**From:** Hurley, Peggy [mailto:Peggy.Hurley@legis.wisconsin.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 3:36 PM  
**To:** O'Keefe, Brian R.  
**Cc:** Rep. Bies  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards

Hi Brian,

Cory asked me to write to you directly in order to nail down the desired language for this amendment.

After reading this email thread, it looks to me as if the advisory committee could consist of 14, 15, or 16 members, depending on the colleges/universities. It appears as if there will always be a representative of two-year colleges participating (so: 14 members). If any tech colleges offer the courses, then a member representing the tech colleges will also be on the committee (15 members). If a tech college AND a 4-year college or university offer the courses, then there will be a member representing 2-year colleges, a member representing tech colleges, and a member representing 4-year colleges or universities (16 members). Is that correct?

I agree that it the language in the amendment as drafted could be read as if every college that offers a course gets a representative on the advisory committee. In order to make it clear that each "category" of school get only one representative, and that each category only get a representative if that category offers a covered course, how about striking the number and amending s. 165.85 (3) (d) to read, in relevant part:

165.85 (3) (d) .... The board shall appoint ~~a 13 member advisory~~ a curriculum advisory committee ~~consisting to advise the board in the establishment of the curriculum requirements. The curriculum advisory committee shall consist of 6 chiefs of police and 6 sheriffs to be appointed on a geographic basis of not more than one chief of police and one sheriff from any one of the 8 state administrative districts together with~~ the director of training of the Wisconsin state patrol. ~~This committee shall advise the board in the establishment of the curriculum requirements~~ , and, if applicable, one or more representatives of colleges or universities as follows:

1. If any technical college in the state provides a course or program described in this paragraph, the board shall appoint to the curriculum advisory committee one person to represent technical colleges.
2. If any 2-year college college in the state provides a course or program described in this paragraph, the board shall appoint to the curriculum advisory committee one person to represent 2-year colleges.
3. If any 4-year college or university in the state provides a course or program described in this paragraph, the board shall appoint to the curriculum advisory committee one person to represent 4-year colleges or universities.

I know that this is a bit clunky, but I can't think of a way to make the intent clearer. Please let me know your thoughts.

Peggy

---

**From:** Rep.Bies  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 2:36 PM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** FW: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards

Hi Peggy,

Please see the exchange below with DOJ to change the amendment to AB 482 and clarify the make-up of the committee. I felt like we were going down two different paths so I asked them to clarify for us! So we will need to change the number of members on the committee and make it clear that they both could participate.

If it helps to call me that works too.

Thanks,  
Cory

---

**From:** O'Keefe, Brian R. [<mailto:okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 2:16 PM  
**To:** Rep.Bies; Rep.Bies; Rinehart, Mark W - DOJ; Stensberg, Dean F - DOJ  
**Cc:** Zibolski, David B - DOJ; Barthuly, John A - DOJ  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards

Cory

I'm OK if you change it to one each if they participate, then it would move to "14 or 15 members."

My concern with the current wording is that it may be construed to mean everyone who has an academy could participate, and then the board would grow too large.

Thanks again for your help,

Brian

Brian R. O'Keefe  
Administrator  
Wisconsin Department of Justice  
Division of Law Enforcement Services

Office 608-266-7052  
Cell 608-345-0913  
E-mail: [okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us](mailto:okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us)

17 W. Main Street 8th Floor  
Madison, WI 53707

---

**From:** Rep.Bies [<mailto:Rep.Bies@legis.wisconsin.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 1:39 PM  
**To:** O'Keefe, Brian R.; Rep. Bies; Rinehart, Mark W.; Stensberg, Dean F.  
**Cc:** Zibolski, David B.; Barthuly, J. Tony  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards

Hi Brian,

Thanks for getting back to us on the amendment. I just want to clarify the following with regards to the seat on the curriculum board. In a previous email you wrote: "As to the curriculum advisory seat, I'm OK with the language you suggest. I think making it clear that a rep from that education category only participates if a tech or 4-yr participate. In other words, if no 4-yr institutions participate in academy training, they lose the seat. Same for tech colleges." Which I took to mean that they each could have a representative on the committee. But from the language you propose below, I think you're saying that only one representative from either a tech. college, a 2-year college, or 4-year college could be on the board. So... only one additional person on the committee. Is that accurate?

Thanks,

Cory

---

**From:** O'Keefe, Brian R. [<mailto:okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 12:36 PM  
**To:** Rep.Bies; Rinehart, Mark W - DOJ; Stensberg, Dean F - DOJ  
**Cc:** Zibolski, David B - DOJ; Barthuly, John A - DOJ  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards  
**Importance:** High

Rep. Bies

I would suggest the amendment be changed to:

- 1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:
- 2 **1.** Page 8, line 6: after "firearms," insert "domestic violence investigations,".
- 3 **2.** Page 8, line 11: delete "13-member" and insert "14-member"
- 4 **3.** Page 8, line 13: delete the material beginning with "together" and ending
- 5 with "with" on line 14 and substitute "together with, a one representative of technical
- 6 colleges, of 2-year colleges, and or of 4-year colleges or universities, if the colleges or
- 7 universities provide a course or program described in this paragraph, and".
- (END)

Thanks for all the help,

Brian

Brian R. O'Keefe  
Administrator  
Wisconsin Department of Justice  
Division of Law Enforcement Services

Office 608-266-7052  
Cell 608-345-0913  
E-mail: [okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us](mailto:okeefebr@doj.state.wi.us)

17 W. Main Street 8th Floor  
Madison, WI 53707

---

**From:** Rep.Bies [<mailto:Rep.Bies@legis.wisconsin.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 11:18 AM  
**To:** Rinehart, Mark W.; O'Keefe, Brian R.; Stensberg, Dean F.  
**Subject:** FW: LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards



Here's the amendment for Training and Standards. Please let me know if this is good to go. Also, I talked with Ashlee in Kleefisch's office about scheduling it. She said that Rep. Tranel has spoken with Joel about the bill and has raised enough concerns that Joel wasn't comfortable with scheduling it for an exec. this week. I sent her the letter that was sent to Dr. Dalecki yesterday.

Once you've signed off on the amendment I can share it with Tranel, Shultz, Platteville, etc.

Thanks,  
Cory

---

**From:** LRB.Legal  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2014 10:52 AM  
**To:** Rep.Bies  
**Subject:** LRB 13a1514 Topic: Law enforcement standards

The attached proposal has been jacketed for introduction.

A copy has also been sent to:

<< File: 13a1514\_1.pdf >>



State of Wisconsin  
2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



LRBa1514/1

PJH:kjf:jm

2  
mr

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT ,  
TO ASSEMBLY BILL 482

1-30-14  
Hoyer



1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 Insert (1a)  
1. Page 8, line 6: after "firearms," insert "domestic violence investigations."

3  
4  
5 2. Page 8, line 13: delete the material beginning with "together" and ending  
6 with "with" on line 14 and substitute "together with, a representative of technical  
7 colleges, of 2-year colleges, and of 4-year colleges or universities, if the colleges or  
universities provide a course or program described in this paragraph, and".

(END)

Insert (1b)

2013-2014 DRAFTING INSERT  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa1514/1ins  
PJH:kjf:jm

1            INSERT: (1a) ✓

2            1. Page 7, line 21; after "is" insert "renumbered 165.85 (3) (d) (intro.) and". ✓

3            2. Page 7, line 22: after "(d)" insert "(intro.)".

Insert (1b) ✓ 3. Page 8, line 11: delete lines 11 to 15 and substitute "13-member advisory

5            curriculum advisory committee consisting to advise the board in the establishment  
6            of the curriculum requirements. The curriculum advisory committee shall consist  
7            of 6 chiefs of police and 6 sheriffs to be appointed on a geographic basis of not more  
8            than one chief of police and one sheriff from any one of the 8 state administrative  
9            districts together with, the director of training of the Wisconsin state patrol. This  
10           ~~committee shall advise the board in the establishment of the curriculum~~  
11           ~~requirements, and, if applicable, one or more representatives of colleges or~~  
12           universities as follows:

13           **SECTION 18m.** 165.85 (3) (d) 1. to 3. of the statutes are created to read:

14           165.85 (3) (d) 1. If any technical college in the state provides a course or  
15           program described in this paragraph, the board shall appoint to the curriculum  
16           advisory committee one person to represent technical colleges. ✓

17           2. If any 2-year college in the state provides a course or program described in  
18           this paragraph, the board shall appoint to the curriculum advisory committee one  
19           person to represent 2-year colleges. ✓

20           3. If any 4-year college or university in the state provides a course or program  
21           described in this paragraph, the board shall appoint to the curriculum advisory  
22           committee one person to represent 4-year colleges and universities.".